

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2873-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/DE2005/000452	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 11 March 2005 (11.03.2005)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 12 March 2004 (12.03.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant SOKYMAT GMBH			

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 *bis*.1(a).
 2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.
- In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

<p style="text-align: center;">The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland</p> <p>Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70</p>	<p>Date of issuance of this report 29 November 2006 (29.11.2006)</p> <p>Authorized officer Agnes Wittmann-Regis</p> <p>e-mail: pt06@wipo.int</p>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

Date of mailing (day/month/year) **See form PCT/ISA/210**

Applicant's or agent's file reference

2873-PCT

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/DE2005/000452

International filing date (day/month/year)

11.03.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)

12.03.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

G06K19/077, G06K19/02

Applicant

SOKYMAT GMBH

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP

Authorized officer

Facsimile No.

Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2005/000452

Box No. I

Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
☐ contained in the international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2005/000452

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	2, 4, 6-11, 13, 15-20	YES
	Claims	1, 3, 5, 12, 14	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2, 4, 6-11, 13, 15-20	YES
	Claims	1, 3, 5, 12, 14	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-20	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP 1 130 542 A (LINTEC CORPORATION) 5 September 2001 (2001-09-05)

D2: US 2003/132302 A1 (HATTORI RYOJI) 17 July 2003 (2003-07-17)

D3: US 2003/197064 A1 (SAINT ANDRE M ET AL) 23 October 2003 (2003-10-23)

2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

Document D1 relates to a flat transponder as disclosed in claim 1; see the corresponding illustrations and passages cited in the search report.

Therefore, the present application does not satisfy the requirements of PCT Article 33(1), since the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2).

Document D2 can be used in a similar manner.

Analogous arguments apply to independent method claim 14.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2005/000452

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement

3. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 3, 5 and 12

Claims 3, 5 and 12 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer back, meet the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step; see the corresponding illustrations and passages from D1 cited in the search report.

4. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 4, 6-11, 13 and 15-20

The combination of features contained in dependent claims 2, 4, 6-11, 13 and 15-20 is neither known from nor disclosed by the available prior art.

5. PCT Article 6

The feature "applied by lamination" in device claim 1 refers to a method for producing the device and not to the definition of the device on the basis of its technical features. Therefore, contrary to the requirements of PCT Article 6, the intended restrictions do not emerge clearly from the claim.

Claim 11 is dependent upon one of claims 6 to 10.

Description, page 1 [German copy only] should read: "Die Erfindung bezieht sich auf...."

Description, page 2, line 20 [German copy only]: "dass Stoff ist zwar..." ---> "dass Stoff zwar..."